metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Harry Adams,* N. Shan and J. Thomas

Department of Chemistry, Dainton Building, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S7 3HF, England

Correspondence e-mail: h.adams@sheffield.ac.uk

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.006 Å R factor = 0.043 wR factor = 0.116 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Hydrogen bonding in thiacrown complexes: chlorobis(nicotinamide- κN)(1,4,7-trithiacyclononane- $\kappa^3 S$)ruthenium(II) hexafluorophosphate monohydrate

The title complex, $[RuClL_2([9]-ane-S_3)]PF_6 H_2O$ [where *L* is nicotinamide, $C_7H_6N_2O$) and [9]-ane-S_3 is 1,4,7-trithiacyclononane ($C_6H_{12}S_3$)], was isolated as a hexafluorophosphate salt from water–ethanol. The structure confirms that the amide moieties are available for possible mutual hydrogen-bond interactions. However, from aqueous solvents, these sites are involved in networks of interactions with water molecules.

Received 10 March 2004 Accepted 20 April 2004 Online 30 April 2004

Comment

The targeted design of long-range solid-state structures, or crystal engineering, is a fast-emerging area of chemistry. In this context, structures mediated by ligand coordination to specific metal centres has been particularly well pursued. However, comparatively less work has involved coordination complexes with coordinated ligands containing hydrogenbonding sites. As part of a programme investigating the possible assembly of solution- and solid-phase host structures, we are synthesizing complexes based on Ru^{II} centres facially capped by thiacrown ligands. Coordination of two or three nicotinic and isonicotinic acid derivatives to these centres provides two- and three-dimensional synthons for crystal engineering. Solubility properties of the resultant complexes can be modulated by a change of counter-ion. The complexes are initially synthesized as chloride salts, but anion metathesis affords a route to a variety of other counter-ions. Using this methodology, we are exploring the effect of the counter-ion on the long-range structure of the resulting crystallographic architecture. We report here the structure of $[RuClL_2([9]-ane S_3$]PF₆ (where L is nicotinamide and [9]-ane- S_3 is 1,4,7-trithiacyclononane) grown from water-ethanol as a monohydrate, (I), in which the water molecules interact with the projecting amide groups.



© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Experimental

[RuCl₂(DMSO)([9]-ane-S₃)] (0.215 g, 0.5 mmol) and nicotinamide (0.122 g, 1.0 mmol) were heated at reflux for 4 h under a nitrogen atmosphere in 30 ml of a water–ethanol mixture (1:1). The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and any insoluble material was removed by filtration. Addition of ammonium hexafluorophosphate (0.163 g, 1.0 mmol) led to the crystallization of the final product. This was collected by filtration, washed with (3 × 10 ml) portions of water, ethanol and diethyl ether, and allowed to dry *in vacuo*. The product was obtained as a yellow powder (yield 0.178 g, 50%). Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained via slow evaporation from the water:ethanol mother liquor.

Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\mathrm{RuCl}(\mathrm{C}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{6}\mathrm{N}_{2}\mathrm{O})_{2}(\mathrm{C}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{12}\mathrm{S}_{3})]^{-} \\ & (\mathrm{PF}_{6})\cdot\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O} \\ & M_{r} = 724.10 \\ & \mathrm{Monoclinic}, \ P_{2_{1}}/c \\ & a = 12.9575 \ (15) \ \mathring{\mathrm{A}} \\ & b = 9.8190 \ (11) \ \mathring{\mathrm{A}} \\ & c = 20.974 \ (3) \ \mathring{\mathrm{A}} \\ & \beta = 101.483 \ (2)^{\circ} \\ & V = 2615.1 \ (5) \ \mathring{\mathrm{A}}^{3} \\ & Z = 4 \end{split}$$

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 1997) $T_{min} = 0.725, T_{max} = 0.806$ 28 430 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$ $wR(F^2) = 0.116$ S = 1.055948 reflections 334 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $D_x = 1.839 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation Cell parameters from 4801 reflections $\theta = 5.2-54.6^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 (2) KBlock, yellow $0.32 \times 0.28 \times 0.21 \text{ mm}$

5948 independent reflections 4693 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.039$ $\theta_{max} = 27.6^{\circ}$ $h = -16 \rightarrow 16$ $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$ $l = -27 \rightarrow 26$

$$\begin{split} &w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o{}^2) + (0.0573P)^2 \\ &+ 5.2806P] \\ &where \ P = (F_o{}^2 + 2F_c{}^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 1.49 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}{}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.72 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}{}^{-3} \end{split}$$



Figure 1

View of (I), showing the numbering scheme, with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.

The H atoms were introduced at calculated positions and treated as riding atoms, with bond lengths of NH₂ 0.88 Å, 0.95(C–H aromatic), and 0.99 Å (CH₂). The exception being the water O–H lengths which were found by low-theta difference Fourier, then restrained to 0.85 (1) Å. The thermal displacement parameters were all made equal to 1.2 times U_{eq} (parent N, O or C atom).

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

References

Bruker (1997). SADABS, SMART, SAINT and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.